

LM01/1027

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/130,659	08/07/98	ROWE	К :	3237-1092

JAMES A WITHERSPOON
JONES & ASKEW
191 PEACHTREE STREET
SUITE 3700
ATLANTA GA 30303-1769

EXAMINER
HUYNH, B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2773

DATE MAILED: 10/27/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/130,659 Keith Lowe et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 2773
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau	36(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	1
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9 8
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	
Disposition of Claims	
10 Claim(s) 36~58	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	·
□ Claim(s) 36 → ∑8	
□ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election
Appligation Papers	requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	e priority documents have been
	ational Rureau (PCT Pulc 1.7.9/a)\
received in this national stage application from the Interr	, , ,
*Certified copies not received:	
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	
*Certified copies not received:	s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	·

Application/Control Number: 0\$/130,659 Page 2

Art Unit: 2773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendments filed on 8/7/98 have been entered into the record. Claims 36-58 are pending in the application. Claims 1-35 have been canceled.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 36-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 5,812,123, and claims 1-30 of US patent No. 5,623,613. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations recited in the pending claims are read on by the patents' claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 40-44, 48-49, 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent #5,589,892 (Knee et al).
- As per claims 40, 48, 49, 53: Knee et al teach a system for displaying items of electronic information (figure 6), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 0\$\frac{9}{130,659} Page 3

Art Unit: 2773

a first display for contemporaneously display a first group of the items (e.g., 61A, 62A, 63A, 64A),

a second display for contemporaneously displaying a second group of the items (e.g., 65A,B,C),

a viewing panel 61 extending along and defining a portion of each of the first and second displays to display the items of the first and second group,

an indicator (e.g., cursor) moving along the viewing panel to provide an indication of the selection of the items displayed in the viewing panel.

Knee et al fail to clearly teach that the viewing panel displays one item for each of the first and second group. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the displaying of one item at a time in the viewing panel. Motivation of the implementation is to conserve display space.

- As for claim 41: Knee et al fail to clearly teach that the in focus viewing panel is highlighted. However, highlighting an in focus object is well known in computer graphical user interface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the highlighting of the viewing panel. Motivation of the implementation is to provide feedback to the user.
- As per claims 42, 43, 44: A direction of movement 184 is provided in response to the location of the cursor (col. 18, lines 41-51)
 - As per claim 54: It would have been obvious to order the item alphabetically.

Application/Control Number: 08/130,659

Art Unit: 2773

- As per claim 55: It would have been obvious for each tile to include program name, date, time and channel (such as in figure 51).
- As per claim 56: It would have been obvious to display a predetermined number of tiles to control display estate.
- As per claim 57-58: It is implicitly included that the retrieved information tiles are stored within the database.

Inquires

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 308-9051 may be used for formal communications or (703) 305-9724 for informal or draft communications. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huynh-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00AM to 4.30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3821.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the

Art Unit: 2773

Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Huynh-Ba Primary Examiner Art Unit 2773 10/25/98

theoph